UF96-01.APL
CSE41
DEB/CSE/KLS
04/07/97

PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No.: UF96-01
(UF-1509)

-1-

IMPROVEMENT OF ETHANOL PRODUCTION FROM LIGNOCELLULOSE

10   BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Ultrasound can be defined as sound waves above the range of human perception (Price, 1992). Currently, many ultrasonic technologies such as SONAR, medical diagnostics, and surface cleaners are available. SONAR and medical
15   applications typically use low power and high frequency (≥ 1 MHz). Surface cleaning applications, however, depend on ultrasonic cavitations created by lower frequency (20-50 Khz) and high power ultrasound.

Ultrasonic cavitations result from the rapid
20   compression and expansion of a liquid. In the expansion phase, the liquid is "torn apart", resulting in the formation of voids or bubbles (Price, 1992; Leeman and Vaughan, 1992). These bubbles gradually increase in size until a critical size is reached, where critical size
25   (usually 100-200μm in diameter) is dependent on the frequency of the oscillation and the presence of any nucleating agents, e.g., dissolved gasses, cracks and crevices on a solid surface, or suspended solids (Atchley and Crum, 1988; Price, 1992). Once its critical size is

reached, the bubble implodes, at times, generating temperatures approaching 5,500°C within the bubble (Suslick, 1989, Price, 1992). When collapse of a cavity occurs in a solution free of solid particles, heating is

5   the only consequence. However, if implosion occurs near a solid surface, implosion is asymmetric. As water rushes to fill the void left by the imploding bubble (e.g., at speeds near 400 m/s) shock pressures of 1-5 Kpa can be generated (Suslick, 1988; Suslick, 1989; Price, 1992).

10   The physical effects of ultrasonic cavitations have been known since the early testing of the first British destroyer, the H.M.S. Daring, in 1894 (Suslick, 1990). The rapid revolution of a ship propeller creates the same, high frequency, compressions and expansions created by

15   ultrasound (Suslick, 1989). Cavitations around the Daring's propeller caused pitting of the metals used. This effect of cavitations on metal surfaces has been confirmed in studies on ultrasonic cavitations (Leeman and Vaughan, 1992; Boudjouk, 1988). High intensity stirring, the

20   dispersal of suspended solids, increased diffusion through cellulose gels, and emulsification of immiscible liquids are other effects attributable to ultrasonic cavitations (Ensminger, 1973).

        The high temperatures, pressures and velocities

25   produced by ultrasonic cavitation can also create unusual chemical environments (Suslick, 1989). Compounds in aqueous solution have been shown to form free radicals when subjected to ultrasound. Water, when subjected to ultrasound, creates H· and ·OH intermediates, ultimately

30   producing H_2 and H_2O_2 (Suslick, 1988). Other chemical effects can be caused by high velocity collisions driven by shock waves. The agglomeration of metallic particles in ultrasonic fields has been shown (Suslick, 1989; Suslick, 1990).

Ultrasonic surface cleaners have been available for use since the early 1950's (Shoh, 1988). The mechanism of the cleaning action is reliant on the formation of cavitation bubbles. The contaminant coat can be gradually

5 eroded through cavitational action. Alternatively, the formation of cavitational bubbles between the coat and the surface, effectively peels the coat away from the surface. Other designs of ultrasonic cleaning systems have extremely high efficiency (>95%).

10 Most biological applications of ultrasonic technology have been directed towards the disruption of cell membranes (Shoh, 1988; Ausubel, 1996). One such device is Fisher Scientific's Model 550 Sonic Dismembrator. Recently, the effects of lower intensities of ultrasound on bacteria have

15 been investigated. It has been shown that nonlethal doses of ultrasound may cause the induction of the SOS response and the transcription of heat shock proteins in *Escherichia coli* (Volmer *et al.*, 1996). Some of the physical damage to *E. coli*, by ultrasonic cavitation, has been illustrated

20 recently (Allison *et al.*, 1996), showing the disruption of the plasma membrane and subsequent leakage of intracellular components.

In the fermentation of milk by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, the rate of lactose hydrolysis was increased

25 with the use of discontinuous ultrasound (Wang *et al.*, 1996). Presumably, the cause of the increased rate of hydrolysis was the release of intracellular enzymes into the media. After ultrasonic treatment was stopped, *L. bulgaricus* was able to recover and grow.

30 Recent interest in ultrasound has been shown by those involved in research in the paper industry investigating its uses as a de-inking device in the recycling of various office paper (Scott and Gerber, 1995; Sell *et al.*, 1995; Norman *et al.*, 1994). It was reported that, because of

ultrasonic treatment, the structure of the paper was changed such that its water holding capacity increased.

Besides the recycling of paper products, there is an interest in the fermentation of waste paper and other

5 lignocellulosic products into ethanol. The production of ethanol from such products reduces environmental waste problems and reduces reliance on petroleum-based automotive fuels. (Hohmann and Rendleman, 1993; Sheehan, 1993). Accessibility of the substrate to cellulase is a primary

10 factor influencing the efficiency of enzymatic degradation of cellulose (Nazhad *et al.,* 1995).

Cellulase from *T. longibrachiatum* is known to bind to cellulose tightly (Brooks and Ingram, 1995). The binding has also been shown to be dependent on the intensity of

15 agitation (Kaya *et al.,* 1994). Similar effects were seen with an intensive mass transfer reactor, where extremely high rates of hydrolysis were achieved (Gusakov *et al.,* 1996).

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

20 Improved methods for enzymatically converting lignocellulose, for example, to ethanol, are desirable. This invention reports the use of ultrasonic treatment in a Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation (SSF) process to enhance the ability of cellulase to hydrolyze

25 mixed office waste paper (MOWP), thereby reducing cellulase requirements by 1/3 to 1/2. SSF is a process wherein ethanologenic organisms, such as genetically engineered micro-organisms, such as *Escherichia coli* KO11 (Ingram *et al.,* 1991) and *Klebsiella oxytoca* P2 (Ingram *et al.,* 1995),

30 are combined with cellulase enzymes and lignocellulose to produce ethanol. Enzyme cost is a major problem for all SSF processes.

In conducting the invention, enzyme stability is not affected and, surprisingly, continuous ultrasonic treatment

results in a decrease in hydrolysis relative to
discontinuous treatment. One possible explanation is that
the resultant mixing does not allow the cellulase to rebind
cellulose long enough for catalysis to occur. Therefore,

5   time to allow catalysis between ultrasonic treatments is
desired.

The SSF of waste office paper by *K. oxytoca* may also
be "cycle dependent." Considering the inhibitory effect of
ultrasound on the growth of *K. oxytoca* P2, a "recovery

10 period" appears to be desired. With variation in the
treatment schedule, such as increasing or decreasing
treatment time throughout the course of fermentation,
further optimization of the fermentation can be possible.

The use of ultrasound in the conversion of cellulose

15 to ethanol represents a significant improvement in the SSF
process. This is particularly true where lignin residues
were used to generate the electricity required for the
process.   Ultrasound can be delivered in other manners as
well, with liquid whistle systems, which are able to

20 increase the water holding capacity of recycled paper
(Scott and Gerber, 1995). Such a device in a piping loop
can produce the desired disruption of the fine structure of
cellulose, with a lower energy input.

In one embodiment, the invention comprises a method

25 for the enzymatic degradation of lignocellulose, such as in
the production of ethanol from lignocellulosic material,
comprising subjecting the material to ultrasound, as in a
continuously-operating ultrasonic device, cellulase
enzymes, optionally an ethanologenic yeast or an

30 ethanologenic bacterium and/or a fermentable sugar, and
maintaining the mixture thus formed under conditions
suitable for the production of ethanol. In an alternative
embodiment, the ultrasonic device is operated
discontinuously.

In a preferred embodiment, the ethanologenic organisms are organisms (particularly recombinant bacteria or yeast) which express one or more enzymes or enzyme systems which, in turn, catalyze (individually or in concert) the
5 conversion of a sugar (e.g., xylose and/or glucose) to ethanol. Preferred ethanologenic organisms include species of Zymomonas, Erwinia, Klebsiella, Xanthomonas and Escherichia. In a highly preferred embodiment, the bacterium is *K. oxytoca* P2.
10 In another embodiment the ethanologenic yeast or ethanologenic bacterium contains enzymes that degrade lignocellulosic material, wherein the enzymes are released from the ethanologenic micro-organism by ultrasonic disruption.

15 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows that ultrasonic treatment did not affect the activity of the added cellulase or β-glucosidase.

Figures 2A and 2B show the susceptibility of *K.*
20 *oxytoca* P2 to ultrasonic damage.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As described above, the invention relates to an improved method for the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulose comprising subjecting an aqueous mixture
25 containing lignocellulose with ultrasound; and contacting the mixture with a cellulase under conditions sufficient for hydrolysis. The aqueous mixture can be subjected to the ultrasound treatment continuously or discontinuously. Typically, the ultrasound will be conducted with
30 commercially available equipment. Examples of suitable ultrasonic probes include the RS-20 Ultrasonic Tubular Resonator and the RG-36/RS-36 Tube Resonator Systems (Telsonic USA, Bridgeport, NJ). These ultrasonic probes

may be combined with ultrasonic generators to maintain
desired operating parameters, such as operating frequency
and power. Examples of suitable ultrasonic generators
include the RG-20 Ultrasonic-Generator and the MRG-36-150

5   Module-Cleaning-Generator (Telsonic USA, Bridgeport, NJ).
The ultrasound treatment may be conducted at a wide-range
of frequencies, all of which exhibit similar effects. For
example, the frequency can be between above 2 and 200 kHz.
The duration and conditions of the ultrasonic step is

10 selected to avoid overheating of the mixture to a
temperature at which significant amounts of the enzyme(s)
will be denatured. Generally, the duration of the
ultrasound treatment lasts between 10 minutes and 30
minutes. Without being limited in anyway by theory, the

15 ultrasound treatment is typically sufficient to disrupt the
crystalline structure of the lignocellulosic material.

The term "continuous" treatment is defined herein to
include a single treatment with ultrasound for the duration
of the enzymatic hydrolysis, i.e. there are no intermediary

20 periods between or during enzymatic hydrolysis in which
there is no ultrasound. The term "discontinuous" treatment
is defined herein to include multiple treatments with
ultrasound between or during enzymatic hydrolysis. In yet
another embodiment, the ultrasonic treatment can be a

25 single exposure to ultrasound prior to enzymatic
hydrolysis.

The lignocellulose material can be obtained from
lignocellulosic waste products, such as plant residues and
waste paper. Examples of suitable plant residues include

30 stems, leaves, hulls, husks, cobs and the like, as well as
wood, wood chips, wood pulp, and sawdust. Examples of
paper waste include discard photocopy paper, computer
printer paper, notebook paper, notepad paper, typewriter
paper, and the like, as well as newspapers, magazines,

35 cardboard, and paper-based packaging materials.

The aqueous mixture containing lignocellulose subjected to the ultrasonic treatment can further comprise a cellulase enzyme for the enzymatic hydrolysis. In yet another embodiment, the cellulase enzyme is added

5   subsequent to the ultrasound treatment. The cellulase can be provided as a purified enzyme or can be provided by a cellulase-producing microorganism in said aqueous mixture. Cellulases, as that term is used herein, includes any enzyme that effects the hydrolysis or otherwise solubilizes

10 cellulase (including insoluble cellulose and soluble products of cellulose). Cellulase enzymes, including purified enzyme preparations, organisms expressing the same, are known in the art. Suitable sources of cellulase include such commercial cellulase products as Spezyme™CP,

15 . Cytolase™ M104, and Multifect™ CL (Genencor, South San Francisco, CA), and such organisms expressing cellulase as the recombinant bacterium of U.S. Patent No. 5,424,202, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The conditions for cellulase hydrolysis are typically

20 selected in consideration of the conditions suitable for the specific cellulase source, e.g, bacterial or fungal. For example, cellulase from fungal sources typically works best at temperatures between about 30°C and 48°C and a pH between about 4.0 and 6.0. In general, typical conditions

25 include a temperature between about 30°C and 60°C and a pH between about 4.0 and 8.0.

The aqueous mixture can further advantageously comprise an ethanologenic microorganism which has the ability to convert a sugar or oligosaccharide to ethanol.

30 Ethanologenic microorganisms are known in the art and include ethanologenic bacteria and yeast. The microorganisms are ethanologenic by virtue of their ability to express one or more enzymes which, individually or together, convert a sugar to ethanol. It is well known,

35 for example, that Saccharomyces (such as *S. cerevisiae*) are

employed in the conversion of glucose to ethanol. Other microorganisms that convert sugars to ethanol include species of Schizosaccharomyces (such as *S. pombe*), Zymomonas (including *Z. mobilis*), Pichia (*P. stipitis*),

5 Candida (*C. shehatae*) and Pachysolen (*P. tannophilus*).

Preferred examples of ethanologenic microorganisms include ethanologenic microorganisms expressing alcohol dehydrogenase and pyruvate decarboxylase, such as can be obtained with or from *Zymomonas mobilis* (see U.S. Patent

10 Nos. 5,000,000; 5,028,539; 5,424,202; and 5,482,846, all of which are incorporated herein by reference).

In another embodiment, the ethanologenic microorganism can express xylose reductase and xylitol dehydrogenase, which convert xylose to xylulose. Xylose isomerase

15 converts xylose to xylulose, as well. The ethanologenic microorganism can further express xylulokinase, which catalyzes the conversion of xylulose to xylulose-5-phosphate. Additional enzymes to complete the pathway can include transaldolase and transketolase. These enzymes can

20 be obtained or derived from *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* and Erwinia species. For example, see U.S. Patent No. 5,514,583.

It is particularly preferred to employ a microorganism which is capable of fermenting both pentoses and hexoses to

25 ethanol, such as are obtained from preparing a recombinant organism which inherently possesses one set of enzymes and which is genetically engineered to contain a complementing set of enzymes. Examples of such microorganisms include those described in US Patent Nos. 5,000,000; 5,028,539;

30 5,424,202; 5,482,846; 5,514,583; and Ho et al., WO 95/13362, all of which are incorporated herein by reference. Particularly preferred microorganisms include *Klebsiella oxytoca* P2 and *Escherichia coli* KO11.

The conditions for converting sugars to ethanol are

35 typically those described in the above referenced U.S.

Patents.   Generally, the temperature is between about 30°C
and 40°C and the pH is between about 5.0 and 7.0.

It is generally advantageous to add nutrients and/or
cofactors for the microorganisms and/or enzymes to optimize
5   the enzymatic conversions.   For example, xylose reductase
employs NADPH and xylitol dehydrogenase employs NAD as
cofactors for their respective enzymatic actions.   In
contrast, bacterial xylose isomerase requires no co-factor
for direct conversion of xylose to xylulose.   It is also
10 desirable to add, or subject the microorganism separately
to, assimilable carbon, nitrogen and sulfur sources to
promote growth.   Many mediums in which to grow
microorganisms are well known in the art, particularly
Luria broth (LB) (Luria and Delbruk, 1943).

15 Where the ultrasound treatment is conducted in the
presence of a microorganism, the ultrasound can be
conducted at a frequency and duration such that a portion
of all the microorganisms present are lysed or otherwise
subjected to membrane disruption.   Such a method can result
20 in a controlled release of the enzymes from the
microorganisms into the surrounding medium, thereby
allowing the optimization of enzymes either alone or in
conjunction with commercial enzymes and reduce the overall
cost of commercial enzymes.

25 Examples of microorganisms containing desirable
enzymes include those described in US Patent No. 5,424,202
to Ingram, *et al.*   Other microorganisms are disclosed in
U.S. Patent No. 5,028,539 to Ingram *et al.*, 5,000,000 to
Ingram *et al.*, 5,487,989 to Fowler *et al.*, 5,482,846 to
30 Ingram *et al.*, 5,554,520 to Fowler *et al.*, 5,514,583 to
Picataggio, *et al.*, copending applications having U.S.S.N
08/363,868 filed on December 27, 1994, U.S.S.N. 08/475,925
filed on June 7, 1995 and U.S.S.N. 08/218,914 filed on
March 28, 1994 and standard texts such as, Ausubel *et al.*,
35 *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Wiley-Interscience,

New York (1988) (hereinafter "Ausubel *et al.*"), Sambrook *et al.*, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Second and Third Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (1989 and 1992) (hereinafter "Sambrook *et al.*") and *Bergey's*

5 *Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, William & Wilkins Co., Baltimore (1984) (hereinafter "Bergey's Manual") the teachings of all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. Yet other embodiments include those described in USSN _____, filed concurrently

10 herewith by Ingram *et al.* (Attorney Docket No. UF97-01) and USSN _____ by Ingram *et al.* (Attorney Docket No. UF97-02), which are incorporated herein by reference.

An example of a suitable device to deliver the ultrasound is Fisher Scientific's Model 550 Sonic

15 Dismembrator, Telsonic Ultrasonic Tubular Resonator RS-20, Telsonic Ultrasonic-Generator RG-20, or Telsonic Tube Resonator System Series RG-36/RS-36. In one embodiment, an ultrasonic immersion horn can be used directly in the aqueous medium. Alternatively, the ultrasound can be

20 emitted into a liquid filled vat in contact with a vat containing the aqueous medium (such as a first vat placed within a second vat, either of which can contain the aqueous medium). It may also be desirable, in a continuous system to flow the aqueous medium through a container, or

25 vat, with the ultrasonic device which, continuously or discontinuously, emits ultrasound. In yet another embodiment, it can be desirable to control the temperature of the aqueous medium by surrounding the container, or vat, with cooling water, or other suitable heat exchange

30 arrangement. It is within the ability of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine how to optimize the release of enzymes from microorganisms, said enzymes to be used alone or in conjunction with commercial enzymes, to achieve optimum ethanol production.

Methods and Materials

The methods and materials described below were used in carrying out the work described in the examples which follow. For convenience and ease of understanding, the
5 methods and materials section is divided into sub-headings as follows.

Organism and Media

All fermentations of Mixed Waste Office Paper (MWOP) used *K. oxytoca* P2 as the biocatalyst. Luria broth (LB)
10 (Luria and Delbruk, 1943) was used as the source of nutrients for all liquid and solid media. Solid media also contained 15 g/L agar and 20 g/L glucose. For the propagation of inoculum, liquid media containing 50 g/L glucose was used. Chloramphenicol (40 mg/L) was used as
15 required for selection. Cultures were maintained on agar plates containing either 40 mg/L Cm or 600 mg/L Cm. The commercial cellulase Spezyme™CP (Genencor, South San Francisco, CA), a mixture of cellulase enzymes from *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* (formerly *T. reesei*), was used.
20 Novozyme 188, β-glucosidase from *Aspergillus niger* (Novo-Nordsk, Franklintin NC) was also used in saccharification experiments.

Enzyme Activity and Sugar Analysis

Endoglucanase activity was determined as previously
25 described (Wood and Bhat, 1988). Cellulase mixtures were diluted in 50 mM citrate buffer, pH 5.2, containing 2% CMC and incubated at 35°C. Release of reducing sugars was determined by the DNS method as described (Chaplin, 1987). Cellobiase activities were determined by measuring the rate
30 of p-nitrophenol (p-NP) release (Abs.$_{410nm}$) from p-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucoside (p-NPG) at pH 5.2, 35°C (Wood and Bhat, 1988). Enzyme solutions were diluted in 50 mM citrate buffer, pH 5.2, as required. One ml of diluted

enzyme was added to 1 ml 2 mM p-NPG and incubated at 35°C. Reactions were terminated with the addition of 1 M Na_2CO_3.

Enhancement of Sugar Release from MWOP

125 g dry wt. shredded MWOP was added with 25 ml 18 N
5 H_2SO_4 and 2 L H_2O in a 3-liter stainless steel beaker. The slurry was allowed to react fully with the carbonate present in the paper (monitored by gas evolution). The pH was then adjusted to approximately 2.5 and autoclaved (121°C) for 20 minutes. After overnight cooling, 125 ml 1
10 M sodium citrate was added and the volume was brought to 2.5 L with H_2O. The pH was adjusted to 5.2 and was placed in a constant temperature bath, 35°C. Mixing was done with a 750-mm Rushton-type radial flow impeller and a Ciambanco model BDC-1850 laboratory mixer. Five FPU Spezyme™CP per
15 gram of paper (625 FPU/2.5 L) and 50 U Novozyme 188 per liter (250 U/ 2.5L) were also added. Units used were as reported by the manufacturer. Thymol, 0.5 g/L, and chloramphenicol, 40 mg/L was added to prevent microbial growth. Ultrasound was produced by a Telsonic 36 KHz Tube
20 Resonator (>95% efficiency), model RS-36-30-1 with an accompanying model MRG-36-150 (150 W effective output) ultrasonic generator (Telsonic USA, Bridgeport, NJ). The frequency was tuned automatically. Treatment cycles were controlled by an SPER Scientific 810030 timer (Fisher
25 Scientific Co., St. Louis, MO). Mixing speeds were constantly adjusted to the lowest setting that would allow mixing (600-75rpm).

Enzyme Stability

The enzyme preparations were diluted in 50 mM citrate
30 buffer to concentrations equivalent to those used in the study of sugar release from MWOP, 250 FPU Spezyme™CP/L and 50 U/L Novozyme 188. Solutions also contained 0.5 g/L thymol and 40 mg/L Cm to prevent microbial growth. The

enzyme mixture was stirred (120 RPM) for 15 minutes to ensure complete dispersal of the enzyme. Stirring was continued for 48 hours with or without continuous exposure to ultrasound. Samples were taken at 0, 12, 24, 36, and 48

5 hours. Enzyme activities were assayed as described above.

Cell Viability

To 1.75 L of LB containing 50 g/L glucose and 40 mg/L Cm, *K. oxytoca* P2 was used to inoculate to an initial cell density, measured as O.D.$_{550nm}$, of 0.5. Growth was allowed

10 to proceed for 12 hours with or without ultrasonic treatment. Samples were taken and dilutions were made to follow cell growth at 0, ¼, ½, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 12 hours. Optical density (O.D.$_{550nm}$) and pH were measured on each sample. Dilutions were spread on agar plates (20 g/l

15 glucose) and incubated overnight (30°C). Colony forming units (CFUs) were mounted as a measurement of cell viability.

Ultrastructural Effects

The change in the structure of the cellulose matrix of

20 MWOP was investigated using a Hitachi S4000 scanning electron microscope. Samples were prepared by subjecting 2.5 L mixtures of 50 g/L MWOP in 50 mM citrate buffer, pH 5.2 and 35°C, to one hour of continuous ultrasound. Other samples were treated with cellulase for 4 hours. Control

25 samples were taken before any treatment. All samples were dried and sputter coated with gold before being examined (Doran *et al.*, 1994).

Cell Propagation

K. oxytoca P2 was transferred from a stock culture

30 (-20°C) to agar plates with 20 g/L glucose and Cm (40 mg/l and 600 mg/l). An isolated colony was then transferred daily from the plate with 600 mg/L Cm to fresh plates

containing both concentrations of Cm. Isolated colonies
from plates with 40 mg/L Cm were used to inoculate flasks
with LB and 50 g/L glucose. Inoculated flasks were
incubated overnight at 30°C after which they were harvested
5 by centrifugation for further use.

SSF with Ultrasonic Treatment

Fermentations of MWOP were conducted in 14 L glass
fermentation vessels (10 L working volume) using Multiferm™
fermentors models 100 and 200 (New Brunswick Sci. Co., NJ).
10 Stainless steel head plates were modified by removing
components that extended into the broth. Head plates were
sanitized with 10 g/L formaldehyde by coating all surfaces
with the formaldehyde while loosely enclosed in a large
plastic autoclave bag. One kg, dry weight, shredded MWOP
15 was placed in fermentation vessels with 8 L H_2O and 110 ml
18 N H_2SO_4. The mixture was autoclaved for one hour.
After cooling, the slurry was further homogenized by
vigorous mixing with a hand drill and a paint mixing
attachment. After autoclaving for an additional one hour
20 and subsequent cooling, 5 FPU Spezyme™CP/ g MWOP, 1 L 10X
LB (pH 5.0) and H_2O was added to a final volume of 10 L.
This solution was partially mixed by hand, using a
sterilized industrial baking whisk, to disperse the enzymes
and nutrients. Cells were added to an initial $O.D._{550nm}$ of
25 0.5. Ultrasonic treatments were as described above.
Because of its nonhomogeneous nature, no samples were taken
for an initial ethanol determination. Samples were taken
at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours.

EXAMPLE 1 - Analysis of Enhanced Rates of Sugar Release
30 Using the methods and materials outlined above for
"Enhancement of Sugar Release from MWOP," it was found that
with the use of ultrasonic energy the rate of enzymatic
hydrolysis was increased up to 40%. When sugar release

with ultrasonic treatment 15 minutes every four hours is. compared with treatment every two hours, a strong correlation between the amount of ultrasonic energy and sugar release is found. The increased rate of sugar

5   release is due to a stimulation of enzymatic activity, not a physical or chemical hydrolysis by reactive byproducts from the sonolysis of water, as illustrated by the experiments without enzymes added. Interestingly, with continuous ultrasonic treatment, the rate of the hydrolysis

10   goes down. Results are set forth in table format in Table 1.

Table 1. Effects of ultrasonic cavitation on enzymatic hydrolysis of mixed waste office paper.

Ultrasonic treatment[a]	Number of Experiments	Energy input (W)	Glucose equivalents [b,c] (mM)		
			@ 24h	36h	48h
No ultrasound	3	0	88.1 ± 6.1	98.3 ± 6.1	106.9 ± 7.8
15 min. Per 4 h	3	9.37	96.5 ± 6.4	113.6 ± 8.0	128.3 ± 8.4
15 min. Per 2 h	3	18.75	115.5 ± 14.3	133.2 ± 10.6	149.0 ± 11.0
Continuous	3	150	98.1 ± 2.5	112.5 ± 5.5	126.6 ± 2.1
Continuous (no enzyme)	2	150	0.67	0.67	0.58

a Ultrasonic treatments were automatically controlled to turn on and off at stated intervals.
b Experiments contained 5 FPU Spezyme™CP and 10 IU Novozyme 188 /g MWOP.
c Based on analysis of reducing termini and assuming all are monomeric.

EXAMPLE 2 - Enzyme Stability

Using the methods and materials outlined above for "Enzyme Stability," it was found that ultrasonic treatment did not affect the stability of the added cellulase or β-glucosidase, as depicted in Figure 1. Both activities remained quite stable even with continuous exposure to ultrasound. The apparent increase in β-glucosidase may be due to the dispersal of protein aggregates in the highly concentrated, commercial, enzyme preparation.

EXAMPLE 3 - Cell Viability

Using the methods and materials outlined above for "Cell Viability," it was found that ultrasonic treatment appeared to be nonlethal, but was inhibitory to growth, as shown in Figures 2A and 2B. This observation may be due in part to an induction of an SOS response by the cells. This was further supported by the observations of pH, which slightly increased (pH 6.9 from an initial pH 6.7). Additionally, it was observed that the relative turbidity of the broth had little change throughout the exposure to ultrasound. Meanwhile, without ultrasonic treatment, a classical growth curve was observed.

EXAMPLE 4 - Effects on SSF

Using the methods and materials outlined above for "SSF with Ultrasonic Treatment," the combination of *K. oxytoca* P2 with ultrasonic treatment resulted in as much as a 15% increase in ethanol yields. Ethanol production from waste office paper treated with ultrasound and *K. oxytoca* P2 is summarized in Table 2. As might be expected from the inhibition of cell growth, increased ultrasonic treatment results in reduced ethanol production. Treatment every two hours may not be significantly different from treatment every four hours, however, a statistically significant

difference between ultrasonic treatment every four hours
and no treatment was found.

Table 2. Effects of ultrasonic treatment on ethanol production in SSF of MWOP using K. oxytoca P2 as the biocatalyst

Ultrasonic treatment	Replicates	[Enzyme][a] (FPU/g MWOP)	[Ethanol] (g/L)				Yield [b,c] (GE/g Cellulose)
			24h	48h	72h	96h	
None	2	10	15.7	27.3	33.5	35.3	0.39
None	4	5	9.5 ± 2.3	19.0 ± 2.7	25.7 ± 2.5	29.4 ± 2.9	0.33
15 min. Per 4h (9.37 W)	5	5	14.3 ± 2.0	26.1 ± 1.3	31.3 ± 1.3	34.0 ± 1.9	0.38
15 min per 2h (18.75 W)	2	5	13.4	23.4	28.8	31.4	0.35
Continuous (150 W)	2	5	10.2	11.2	11.3	11.3	0.13

a Enzyme added was Spezyme™CP Cellulase (Genencor, Inc. South San Francisco, CA), Enzyme activity was determined by the manufacturer.

b MWOP contains appraoximately 90% Cellulose (Brooks and Ingram, 1995.)

c Theoretical maximum yield is 0.568 g ethanol per g cellulose.

d Unpaired t-tests show these results to be statistically different (p-0.0224).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Allison, D.G., A. D'Emanuele, and A.R. Williams. "The
        effect of ultrasound on *Escherichia coli* viability"
        1996. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 36(1):3-11.

5 Atchley, A.A., and L.A. Crum. "Chapter 1: Acoustic
        cavitation and bubble dynamics" <u>Ultrasound: Its
        Chemical, Physical, and Biological Effects</u>. K.S.
        Suslick ed. VCH, New York, N.Y. 1988.

Ausubel, F.M., R. Brent, R.E. Kingston, D.D. More, J.G.
10   Seidman, J.A. Smith, and K. Struhl. <u>Current Protocols
        in Molecular Biology</u>.. Green Publishing and Wiley
        Interscience, New York, N.Y. 1996

Boudjouk, P. "Chapter 5. Heterogeneous sonochemistry"
        <u>Ultrasound: Its Chemical, Physical, and Biological
15   Effects</u>. K.S. Suslick ed. VCH, New York, N.Y. 1988.

Brooks, T.A. and L.O. Ingram. "Conversion of mixed office
        paper to ethanol by genetically engineered *Klebsiella
        oxytoca* strain P2." 1995. *Biotechnol.Prog.* 11(6):
        619-625

20 Doran, J.B., H.C. Aldrich, and L.O. Ingram.
        "Saccharification and fermentation of sugar
        canebagasse by *Klebsiella oxytoca* P2 containing
        chromosomally integrated genes encoding the *Zymomonas
        mobilis* ethanol pathway" 1994. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*
25   44:240-247.

Gusakov, A.V., A.P. Sinitsyn, I.Y. Davydkin, V.Y. Davydkin.
        and O.V. Protas. "Enhancement of enzymatic cellulose
        hydrolysis using a novel type of bioreactor with

intensive stirring induced by electromagnetic field"
1996. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 56:141-153.

Hohmann, N., and C.M Rendleman. <u>Emerging Technologies in
Ethanol Production</u> USDA Ag. Info. Bulletin no. 663.
5   U.S. Department of Agriculture: Washington D.C.
January 1993.

Ingram, L.O. and J.B. Doran. "Conversion of cellulosic
materials to ethanol" 1995. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*
16:235-241

10   Ingram, L.O., D.S. Beall, G.F.H. Burchardt, W.V. Guimaraes,
K. Ohta, B.E. Wood, and K.T. Shanmugam. "Ethanol
production by recombinant hosts" 1995. U.S. Patent
No.5,424,202.

Ingram, L.O., T. Conway, and F. Altertghum. "Ethanol
15   production by *Escherichia coli* strains co-expressing
Zymomonas pds and *adh* genes" 1991. U.S. Patent No.
5,000,000.

Kaya, F., J.A. Heitmann, Jr., and T.W. Joyce. "Cellulase
binding to cellulose fibers in high shear fields"
20   1994. *J. Biotech.* 36:1-10.

Leeman, S., and P.W. Vaughn. "Cavitation phenomena"
<u>Current Trends in Sonochemisty</u>. G.J. Price ed. Royal
Society of Chemistry Special Publication No. 116.
Royal Society of Chemisty:Cambridge U.K. 1992.

Nazhad, M.M., L.P. Ramos, L. Paszner, and J.N. Sadler. "Structural constraints affecting the initial enzymatic hydrolysis of recycled paper" 1995. *Enz. Microb. Tech.* 17:68-74.

5   Norman, J.C., N.J. Sell, and M. Danelski. "Deinking laser-print paper using ultrasound" 1994. *TAPPI J.* 77:151-158.

Price, G.J. "Introduction to sonochemistry" Current Trends in Sonochemisty. G.J. Price ed. Royal Society of
10   Chemistry Special Publication No. 116. Royal Society of Chemistry:Cambridge U.K. 1992.

Scott, W.E. and P. Gerber. "Using ultrasound to deink xerographic waste" 1995. *TAPPI J.* 78:125-130.

Sell, N.J., J.C. Norman, and D. Jayaprakash. "Deinking
15   secondary fiber using ultrasound" 1995. *Progress in Paper Recycling*. Aug. p.28-34.

Sheehan, J.J. "Chapter 1. Bioconversion for production of renewable transportation fuels in the United States: a strategic perspective" Enzymatic Conversion of
20   Biomass for Fuels Production. Himmel, M.E.; Baker, J.O.; Overend, R.P. eds. ACS Symposium Series 566. American Chemical Society: Washington D.C. 1993.

Shoh, A. "Chapter 3. Industrial applications of ultrasound" Ultrasound:Its Chemical, Physical, and Biological
25   Effects. K.S. Suslick ed. VCH, New York, N.Y. 1988.

Suslick, K.S. "Chapter 4. Homogeneous sonochemistry" <u>Ultrasound:Its Chemical, Physical, and Biological Effects</u>. K.S. Suslick ed. VCH, New York, N.Y. 1988. 123-146.

5 Suslick, K.S. "Sonochemistry" 1990. *Science*. 247:1439-1441.

Suslick, K.S. "The Chemical Effects of Ultrasound" 1989. *Scientific American*. Feb. p. 80-86.

Volmer, A.C., I.R.S. Maken and E.C. Everbach. "Induction of the heat shock response in *Escherichia coli* by the
10 effects of acoustic cavitation from Ultrasound" Abstr. I-85, p. 317. Abstr. 96th Annu. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. American Society for Microbiology, Washington D.C. 1996.

Wang, D., M. Sakakibara, N. Kaoyuki, and K. Suzuki.
15 "Ultrasound enhanced lactose hydrolysis in milk fermentation with *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*" 1996. J. *Chem Tech. Biotechnol*. 65:86-92.

Wood, T.M. and K.M. Bhat. "Methods for measuring cellulase activities" 1988. *Methods in Enzymology*. 160:87-144.

EQUIVALENTS

Those skilled in the art will recognize, or be able to ascertain using no more than routine experimentation, many equivalents to the specific embodiments of the invention described herein. Such equivalents are intended to be encompassed by the following claims.